

From: Aviles, Jesse [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=9FEDD63547464C589715A846AFAD05EC-AVILES, JESSE]
Sent: 12/3/2018 1:12:13 PM
To: Wharton, Steve [Wharton.Steve@epa.gov]; Chergo, Jennifer [Chergo.Jennifer@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: VB/I-70 CAG Resolution 2018-4 RE: Delisting OU1

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

From: CAG Administrator <vbi170cag@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 2, 2018 15:13

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Subject: VB/I-70 CAG Resolution 2018-4 RE: Delisting OU1

Jennifer, Steve, Jesse, Mr. Benevento,

At the VB/I-70 Superfund Site Community Advisory Group meeting on Tuesday, November 27, 2018, CAG members unanimously passed a resolution requesting that the EPA:

- a) fully perform its obligations to the CAG and to the public in full compliance with 40 CFR § 25.7
- b) provide CAG with the draft of the application for the delisting of VB/I-70 OU1 along with supporting documents including but not limited to proposals, plans and notices related to the OU1 site delisting at a minimum of 30 days prior to publication in the Federal Register to allow CAG to perform its duties to make recommendations and advise EPA regarding the EPA's contemplated action
- c) EPA shall thereafter formally respond to all CAG comments, suggestions, and advice with respect to EPA's desire to delist OU 1 of the Site.

Attached is a copy of the resolution.

CAG members have repeatedly expressed concern, coinciding with EPA authored assessments detailed within the VB/I-70 Baseline Human Health Risk Assessment and the VB/I-70 Remedial Investigation, that the basis for the cleanup and

closure of the site do not address the undetermined source of the contamination, thereby continuing to expose human and all life to health and environmental risks.

These concerns are not new. In fact, they were raised by members of the affected community back more than a decade ago. According to a 2005 community involvement plan community members states that the EPA may not be addressing all possible contamination in the area and that the agency should consider past industrial and commercial uses of the land. Community members suggested that EPA should expand its investigation before future development occurs on the site because it too would be impacted by the contamination.

By way of this email, I am formalizing the CAG's request for your compliance with the actions listed above.

Regards,

Kimberly Morse
VB/I-70 CAG Administrator